

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIQNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/448,940 | 11/24/1999 | ROBERT D. BARNES | GEM:0071/15- | 5631 | |
| 7: | 12/31/2002 | | | | |
| PATRICK S YODER FLETCHER YODER & VAN SOMEREN P O BOX 692289 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | DO, ANH HONG | | |
| HOUSTON, TX 772692289 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 12/21/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/448,940

Applicant(s)

Barnes et al.

Examiner

Anh Hong Do

Art Unit **2624**



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover | sheet with | the correspondence address | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Period 1 | for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $___3$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. | no event, however | r, may a reply | be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX e application to be | (6) MONTHS (ecome ABAND | from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | , , | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 7, 200 | 02 | | · | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti | ion is non-fir | nal. | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> | ي | | is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | • | | is/are allowed. | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> | | | is/are rejected. | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | | | | |
| | tion Papers | | - | , | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) accep | ted or b) | \square objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) | \Box The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | au (PCT Rule | : 17.2(a)). | • | |
| | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | |
| 14)[| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | |
| a) In translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| 15) 🗀 | | priority unde | # 35 U.S. | C. 33 12U and/or 121. | |
| Attachm 1) X No | ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summer, IDT | 0-413) Paper No(s) | |
| | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | nt Application (PTO-152) | |
| | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | * F*********************************** | |

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirabayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,282).

Regarding claim 1, Hirabayashi discloses:

Art Unit: 2624

(a) compiling a plurality of encoding/compressing tables for converting unencoded/uncompressed data representative of individual picture elements to encoded/compressed data (col. 7, lines 38-40: compiling 6 Human tables);

- (b) applying at least two compression tables from the plurality of compression tables to pixel blocks of uncompressed data stream to compress/encode the pixel blocks (col. 8, lines 1-4, teaches applying Human tables for blocks of pixels);
- appending data for the compressed/encoded blocks to form a compressed/encoded data stream (col. 8, lines 13-16, teaches generating a train of encoded data).

Regarding claim 24, Hirabayashi discloses:

- a machine readable medium (Fig. 11: CPU1; and col. 9, lines 1-3);
- configuration code stored on the machine readable medium, the configuration code including an algorithm for analyzing an image data stream (Fig. 11: difference value generation circuits 102 and 103), for compressing/encoding subregions of the image data stream (Fig. 11: encoder 104) by application of a plurality of compression code tables (col. 9, lines 27-30), and for compiling the compressed/encoded subregions into a compressed/encoded data file (Fig. 11: encode generator 110).

Regarding claim 25, Hirabayashi teaches storing a family of candidate compression code tables on the machine readable medium (Fig. 11: RAM 3).

Regarding claim 26, Hirabayashi teaches the algorithm including computation of the compressed/encoded data lengths provided by application of a plurality of candidate compression

Art Unit: 2624

code tables for compression of each subregions (Fig. 11: difference value generation circuits 102 and 103, and encode generator 110), and selection of the compression code tables providing the shortest compressed data lengths for each subregion (Fig. 11: selector 108; and col. 9, lines 45-47).

Regarding claim 27, Hirabayashi teaches the code is installed on the machine readable medium via a configuration network link (col. 1, lines 6-15).

4. Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Puri (U.S. Patent No. 5,563,593).

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Puri discloses:

- a data compression/encoding station configured to store a plurality of compression code/encoding tables for conversion of image data to compressed/encoded image data (Fig. 7: MPEG-1 VLC table for DCT events 710 and intra VLC table for DCT events 715 in image data encoding station) and to execute a compression/encoding routine in which an image data stream is converted to a compressed/encoded file by dividing into subregions and each subregion compressing in accordance with a compression code/encoding table selected from the plurality of compression code/encoding tables (Fig. 9: encoder for DCT events 915 for conversion, where DCT is representative of block of pixels, formed by dividing the image data stream, as disclosed in col. 1, lines 13-16) based upon which compression code table provides optimal compression/encoding of the subregion (col. 6, lines 13-17);

Art Unit: 2624

- a data storage for receiving and storing the compressed/encoded file (col. 7, lines 32-35);

- an image decompression/decoding station configured to store a plurality of compression code/encoding tables (Fig. 8: MPEG-1 VLC table for DCT events 810 and intra VLC table for DCT events 815 in image data decoding station), to access the compressed/encoded file from the data storage and to execute a decompression/decoding routine in which the compression code/encoding tables applied to compress/encode the image data stream are applied to decompress/decode the compressed/encoded file to reconstruct the image data stream (Fig. 10: decoder 1040).

Regarding claims 20-22, Puri teaches analysis of the image data stream for data representative of an identification of an image encoded by the image data stream (col. 7, lines 24-51).

Regarding claim 23, Puri teaches encoding of identifiers of the selected compression code tables within the compressed/encoded file (Fig. 9: encoder 915), and the analysis of the identifiers for selection of the same compression code tables for decompression of the compressed/encoded file (col. 8, lines 22-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2624

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirabayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,282) in view of Puri (U.S. Patent No. 5,563,593).

Regarding claims 2-4, although disclosing as in claim 1, Hirabayashi does not specifically teach using the compression tables to map a prediction error as claimed. One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that the encoding system of Hirabayashi is to enable more efficient encoding in the unit of blocks.

Puri, in the same field of endeavor, teaches using compression/encoding tables to map a prediction error generated by subtractor 902 for each pixel on bus 903 to a compressed/encoded data code on bus 917 (Fig. 9), wherein the system of Puri is to increase the efficiency of coding (col. 2, lines 1-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use compression/encoding tables to map a prediction error in Hirabayashi as taught by Puri in order to increase the coding efficiency.

Regarding claim 5, Puri teaches selecting the compression/encoding tables from the plurality of compression/encoding tables using selector 920 (Fig. 9).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Puri teaches the compression/encoding table are selected based upon relative entropy levels of each pixel blocks (col. 7, lines 18-24).

Regarding claim 8, Puri teaches application of subtractor 902 to determine a difference between a predicted value of each pixel on bus 958 and the actual value of the respective pixel on bus 901 (Fig. 9).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Puri teaches selecting the compression/encoding tables based upon which tables provide the shortest compressed/encoded data stream for each pixel block (col. 6, lines 13-17).

Regarding claim 11, Puri teaches encoding in the compressed image data stream identifier representative of the compression/encoding tables (Fig. 9: variable length encoder for overhead and bitstream formatter 990).

Regarding claim 12, Hirabayashi discloses:

(a) and (b) defining and storing a family of candidate compression code tables on the machine readable medium (Fig. 11: RAM 3).

Hirabayashi does not specifically teach the following subject matters as does Puri:

- (b) storing the compression/encoding tables in an image data compression/encoding station (Fig. 7: MPEG-1 VLC table for DCT events 710 and intra VLC table for DCT events 715 in image data encoding station) and in image data decompression/decoding station (Fig. 8: MPEG-1 VLC table for DCT events 810 and intra VLC table for DCT events 815 in image data decoding station);
- (c) selecting at least two of the compression code tables for compression/encoding of DCT (corresponding to pixel blocks or subregions) of an image data stream (Fig. 7: selector 700);
- (d) compressing/encoding the image data stream in accordance with the selected compression code tables at the compression/encoding station for decompression/decoding at the decompression/decoding station (Fig. 9: encoder 915 for encoding the image data stream in

Application/Control Number: 09/448,940

Art Unit: 2624

accordance with the compression/encoding tables selected by selector 920 for decoding it at the

decoding station shown in Fig. 10).

The motivation is set forth in the discussion of claims 2-4 above.

Regarding claim 13, Puri teaches encoding in the compressed image data stream identifier

representative of the compression/encoding tables (Fig. 9: variable length encoder for overhead

and bitstream formatter 990).

Regarding claim 14, Puri teaches the compression/encoding tables are defined based upon

analysis of typical images to be compressed/encoded at the compression/encoding station (Fig. 7:

define VLC tables for DCT events based upon MPEG-1 and intra images).

Regarding claim 15, Puri teaches application of subtractor 902 to determine a difference

between a predicted value of each pixel on bus 958 and the actual value of the respective pixel on

bus 901, and wherein the compression/encoding tables are applied to encode the difference values

(Fig. 9).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Puri teaches selecting the compression/encoding tables based

upon which tables provide the shortest compressed/encoded data stream for each pixel block (col.

6, lines 13-17).

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Anh Hong Do whose telephone number is (703) 308-6720.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or 4750. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

December 30, 2002.

MMMMMM